

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,635	02/06/2004	Park B. Smith	0052718-0003	8344
826	7590 07/25/2006		EXAM	INER
ALSTON & BIRD LLP			JOHNSON, BLAIR M	
BANK OF A	MERICA PLAZA			
101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
		DATE MAILED: 07/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/773,635	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blair M. Johnson	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	ulv 2006.	•				
_	action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-21,23-60 and 62-75</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-21,23-60 and 62-75</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
2) ☐ Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 28 recites "The window treatment of claim 1" which is inconsistent with the language of claim 1. It appears as if claim 28 should depend from claim 19, either directly or indirectly.

Claims 1-4,6-21,23-60 and 62-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Brien in view of Shukat et al.

O'Brien discloses a panel that has rings, sleeves or tabs, all of which are readable on element 20 which is sewn at 64 onto the curtain and hence "non-releasable". The rod has not been positively recited and the sleeves/rings 20 are capable of being mounted on a rod. Also provided are spaced guide elements 26 which guide pull cords 60 or 88. The panel is made of cotton fabric, column 3, line 58. The synthetic fabric of claim 7 is not chosen, thereby obviating the limitation of claim 9, etc. The use of the panel, i.e. room divider, wall hanging, etc., is a functional recitation that does not limit the structure of the device. The size of the panel is obvious depending on the size of the opening it is intended to cover. What is not shown by O'Brien are the slats, or the equivalent thereto, dowels. However, such are well known in the art, as illustrated by Shukat at 52. It would have been obvious to modify O'Brien to have such slats so as to provide defined pleats. See Fig. 5 in Shukat et al which illustrates the accumulated configuration of the panel.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,635 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The added limitation to each independent claim regarding the non-releasable attachment of the sleeves to the curtain is addressed above. Regarding the combination of Shukat et al with O'Brien, there are several features of O'Brien other than potential ballooning or scalloped shapes. Primarily, O'Brien is a raisable panel that can be mounted on conventional curtain rods. Modifying O'Brien to have slats does not limit this feature, or many other features, of O'Brien. Modifying a primary reference always changes it's structure but may still be a valid modification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blair M. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-6830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Blair M. Jownson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

BMJ 7/18/06